

INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION  
ACT OF FISCAL YEAR 1999

SHELBY AMENDMENT NO. 3050

Mr. LOTT (for Mr. SHELBY) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 2052) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1999 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 11, between lines 18 and 19, insert the following:

**SEC. 307. DESIGNATION OF HEADQUARTERS BUILDING OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY AS THE GEORGE HERBERT WALKER BUSH CENTER FOR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE.**

(a) DESIGNATION.—The Headquarters Building of the Central Intelligence Agency located in Langley, Virginia, shall be known and designated as the “George Herbert Walker Bush Center for Central Intelligence”.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Headquarters Building referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the George Herbert Walker Bush Center for Central Intelligence.

KERREY AMENDMENTS NOS. 3051–3052

Mr. LOTT (for Mr. KERREY) proposed two amendments to the bill, S. 2052, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 3051

On page 11, between lines 18 and 19, insert the following:

**SEC. 307. AUTHORITY TO DIRECT COMPETITIVE ANALYSIS OF ANALYTICAL PRODUCTS HAVING NATIONAL IMPORTANCE.**

Section 102(g)(2) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403(g)(2)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (E) and (F), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph (D):

“(D) direct competitive analysis of analytical products having National importance;”.

AMENDMENT NO. 3052

On page 11, between lines 18 and 19, insert the following:

**SEC. 307. ANNUAL STUDY AND REPORT ON THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF RUSSIAN NUCLEAR FACILITIES AND NUCLEAR MILITARY FORCES.**

(a) ANNUAL STUDY.—The Director of Central Intelligence shall, on an annual basis, conduct a study of the safety and security of the nuclear facilities and nuclear military forces in Russia.

(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—(1) The Director shall, on an annual basis, submit to the committees referred to in paragraph (4) an intelligence report assessing the safety and security of the nuclear facilities and nuclear military forces in Russia.

(2) Each report shall include a discussion of the following:

(A) The ability of the Russia Government to maintain its nuclear military forces.

(B) Security arrangements at civilian and military nuclear facilities in Russia.

(C) The reliability of controls and safety systems at civilian nuclear facilities in Russia.

(D) The reliability of command and control systems and procedures of the nuclear military forces in Russia.

(3) Each report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

(4) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) The Select Committee on Intelligence, Committee on Armed Services, and Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(B) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, Committee on National Security, and Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives.

COATS AMENDMENT NO. 3053

Mr. LOTT (for Mr. COATS) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 2052, supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 3053

On page 11, between lines 18 and 19, insert the following:

**SEC. 307. QUADRENNIAL INTELLIGENCE REVIEW.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Director of Central Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense should jointly complete, in 1999 and every 4 years thereafter, a comprehensive review of United States intelligence programs and activities;

(2) each review under paragraph (1) should—

(A) include assessments of intelligence policy, resources, manpower, organization, and related matters; and

(B) encompass the programs and activities funded under the National Foreign Intelligence Program (NFIP), the Joint Military Intelligence Program (JMIP), and the Tactical Intelligence and Related Activities (TIARA) accounts;

(3) the results of each review should be shared with the appropriate committees of Congress; and

(4) the Director, in conjunction with the Secretary, should establish a nonpartisan, independent panel (with members chosen in consultation with the committees referred to in subsection (b)(2) from individuals in the private sector) in order to—

(A) assess each review under paragraph (1);

(B) conduct an assessment of alternative intelligence structures to meet the anticipated intelligence requirements for the national security and foreign policy of the United States through the year 2010; and

(C) make recommendations to the Director and the Secretary regarding the optimal intelligence structure for the United States in light of the assessment under subparagraph (B).

(b) REPORT.—(1) Not later than August 15, 1998, the Director and the Secretary shall jointly submit to the committees referred to in paragraph (2) the views of the Director and the Secretary regarding—

(A) the potential value of conducting reviews as described in subsection (a)(1); and

(B) the potential value of assessments of such reviews as described in subsection (a)(4)(A).

(2) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) The Select Committee on Intelligence, Committee on Armed Services, and Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

(B) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, Committee on National Security, and Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

NEXT GENERATION INTERNET  
RESEARCH ACT OF 1998

FRIST (AND ROCKEFELLER)  
AMENDMENT NO. 3054

Mr. LOTT (for Mr. FRIST for himself and Mr. ROCKEFELLER) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1609) to amend the High-Performance Computing Act of 1991 to authorize appropriations for fiscal years 1999 and 2000 for the Next Generation Internet program, to require the Advisory Committee on High-Performance Computing and Communications, Information Technology, and the Next Generation Internet to monitor and give advice concerning the development and implementation of the Next Generation Internet program and report to the President and the Congress its activities, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 9, in the matter appearing after line 18—

(1) strike “\$42,500,000” in the column headed FY 1999 and insert “\$40,000,000”;

(2) strike “\$45,000,000” in the column headed FY 2000 and insert “\$42,500,000”;

(3) strike “\$5,000,000” in the column headed FY 1999 the second place it appears and insert “\$10,000,000”;

(4) strike “\$5,000,000” in the column headed FY 2000 and insert “\$10,000,000”;

(5) strike the closing quotation marks at the end of the table; and

(6) after the table insert the following:

The amount authorized for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1999 under this section shall be the amount authorized pursuant to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999.”.

LEAHY (AND ASHCROFT)  
AMENDMENT NO. 3055

Mr. LOTT (for Mr. LEAHY for himself and Mr. ASHCROFT) proposed an amendment to the bill, S. 1609, supra; as follows:

At the appropriate place in the bill, insert the following new section:

**SEC. \_\_\_\_ . STUDY OF EFFECTS ON TRADEMARKS AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS OF ADDING GENERIC TOP-LEVEL DOMAINS.**

(a) STUDY BY NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL.—Not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Commerce shall request the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a comprehensive study, taking into account the diverse needs of domestic and international Internet users, of the short-term and long-term effects on trademark and intellectual property rights holders of adding new generic top-level domains and related dispute resolution procedures.

(b) MATTERS TO BE ASSESSED IN STUDY.—The study shall assess and, as appropriate, make recommendations for policy, practice, or legislative changes relating to—

(1) the short-term and long-term effects on the protection of trademark and intellectual property rights and consumer interests of increasing or decreasing the number of generic top-level domains;

(2) trademark and intellectual property rights clearance processes for domain names, including—

(A) whether domain name databases should be readily searchable through a common interface to facilitate the clearing of trademarks and intellectual property rights and